



NITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov APR 1.1 7006 FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 08/21/2003 10/646,382 NMCIP044 9725 Kazuci Yamaguchi 22434 03/28/2006 EXAMINER 7590 BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP ZIRKER, DANIEL R P.O. BOX 70250 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250 1771

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/646,382	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel Zirker	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -	
Period for Reply		(C) OD TINDTY (OO) DAYO	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the limit of the lim	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	January 2006.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-8</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority document			
Copies of the certified copies of the pri		ived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not recei	vea.	
•			
Attachment(s)	∧ □ <u></u>	on. (DTO 412)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	l Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/646,382

Art Unit: 1771

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More particularly, in each of these claims the literally unsupported and believed non conventional language "attached onto a target surface" is believed to be more desirably stated as, e.g. –adhered onto one (or both if it is desired and proper support exists) of the acrylic polymer coated "front surface" and/or "back surface".
- 3. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata et al, substantially for the reasons set forth in Paragraph No. 081005, together with the following additional observations. More particularly, applicants argue (Response, page 3, 4th paragraph) that the reference teaches that a separator is not always necessary in the embodiments of the reference, which is true, but in view of the fact that a separator , i.e. a release sheet, is used in certain of the relied upon embodiments, irrelevant. As to his contention that the reference teaches that the separator, if used, may be a "conventional kind of release paper", the Examiner respectfully submits that this broad language would not just restrict one of ordinary skill to paper separators, but would also encompass such well known separators in the broad adhesive sheet or tape art as plastic films made of, e.g. polypropylene and the claimed PET. In support of his position, note as evidence of the state of the art newly cited Sakumoto et al, which is related to smooth adhesive surfaces found on adhesive

Application/Control Number: 10/646,382

Art Unit: 1771

tapes (note Col 2, lines 48-58 and claim 1) and which teaches (Col 1, line 64-Col 2, line 4) the equivalence of release coated papers with release coated films such as polypropylene and PET. In summary, the Examiner must still hold that the prima facie case of record has not been overcome.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note also Levens.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is 571-272-1486. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:30 to 6:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on 571 – 272 - 1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/646,382

Art Unit: 1771

Daniel Zirker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

Daniel Zukin

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/646,382 Examiner Daniel Zirker Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination YAMAGUCHI ET AL. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-5,032,438	07-1991	Sakumoto et al.	428/41.8
*	В	US-4,223,067	09-1980	Levens, Dennis L.	428/313.9
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			•
	Н	US-			•
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	м	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0			· · ·		
	Р				•	
	Q					
	R					
	s					·
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

	NON-AILNI DOCUMENTO			
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)		
	U			
	٧			
	w			
	x			

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

COMMISSIONER FOR ATENTS

P.O. BOX 1450

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER







02 1A 0004204479 MAILED FROM



















